1 2	STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284) Acting United States Attorney				
3	SARA WINSLOW (DCBN 457643) Chief, Civil Division				
4 5	KIMBERLY A. ROBINSON (CABN : Assistant United States Attorney	332441)		
6 7 8	1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 637-3680 FAX: (510) 637-3724 kimberly.robinson3@usdoj.gov	7			
9	Attorneys for Defendants				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
13	TATYANA DREVALEVA,)	CASE NO. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS		
14	Plaintiff,)))	DEFENDANTS' INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND		
15	V.)	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
16	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT)	Date: April 30, 2021		
17	OF VETERANS AFFAIRS ET AL.,)	Time: 2:00 p.m. Location: Videoconference		
18 19	Defendants.	_	Hon. Joseph C. Spero		
20 21			gement statement and proposed order pursuant		
22	to this Court's April 5, 2021 Order. Dkt. 345 at 1 ("Order").				
	1. JURISDICTION & SERVICE				
23	This Court has jurisdiction over this a	ction pu	arsuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. There are no issues		
24	of personal jurisdiction or venue and r	10 parti	es remain to be served. Defendants note however		
25	that Plaintiff has expressed an interest in transferring her claims to a different federal district,				
26	the United States District Court for the	e Distri	ct of New Mexico. See Dkt. 353 at 2.		
2728	Defendants will stipulate to the transfe	er of thi	is case and any other remaining cases Plaintiff has		
20	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-03748-JCS		Defendants' Initial Case Management		
	1		Statement		

filed in this district to the District of New Mexico.

2. FACTS

The Court sua sponte struck Plaintiff's amended complaint. Order at 1.

On April 5, 2021, the parties met and conferred, per this Court's order regarding further amendments. Defendants conveyed the following to Plaintiff with respect to their position:

Before Defendants will agree to permit the filing of any amended complaint,
Defendants would like to review the proposed amended complaint. The amended complaint
may include only the Title VII and Rehabilitation Act claims that the Ninth Circuit remanded
and must state facts supporting those claims in numbered paragraphs as required by Rule 10(b)
of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendants will review the amended complaint and let
you know if it has any objections to it being filed. Please provide an amended complaint by
April 21, 2021. If Defendants agree, the Amended Complaint shall be filed by April 30,
2021. Defendant will respond within 30 days. If Defendant objects to your proposed
Amended Complaint, and the parties do not stipulate to permit the Amended Complaint, you
can file a motion for leave to file it, but Defendant will oppose this motion.

Plaintiff declined to stipulate to the above and has not filed a motion for leave to file a second amended complaint, per this Court's Order. Order at 6.

Accordingly, there is currently no operative complaint.

3. LEGAL ISSUES

The second amended complaint will likely raise claims under Title VII and the Rehabilitation Act, per the Ninth Circuit's order. *See also* Order at 5-6.

4. MOTIONS

Defendants will likely file a motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12 ("Rule 12") Plaintiff's second amended complaint. If this Court grants the motion in part or in

CASE	NO.:	3:18-cv-03748-JCS	Defen	idants' Initial		
2			Case	Management	Statement	

1 full without prejudice, and if the Third Amendment Complaint continues to be insufficient, 2 Defendants plan to file another motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12. Defendants state that it is 3 premature to state what further motion practice may occur. 4 5 Defendants also respectfully request that to the extent Plaintiff seeks to file administrative 6 motions, that she meet and confer with Defendants per the Local Rules, prior to filing. 7 Defendants would also like to discuss with the Court pre-filing rules that might apply given 8 Plaintiff's propensity for excessive filings. 9 5. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS 10 If this Court grants Defendant's motion to dismiss in part or in full without prejudice, Plaintiff 11 will amend by a date set by this Court. 12 6. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION 13 Defendants hereby certify that they have reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of 14 Electronically Stored Information. Once the pleadings are settled, Defendants would like to 15 meet and confer with Plaintiff (or her counsel if one is appointed) pursuant to Rule 26(f). 16 Defendant has taken reasonable and proportionate steps to preserve evidence that is reasonably 17 evident in this action. 18 7. <u>DISCLOSURES</u> 19 No initial disclosures or General Order 71 disclosures have been provided in this case. 20 8. **DISCOVERY** 21 The parties have not yet served initial disclosures or commenced discovery because there is no 22 complaint. 23 9. CLASS ACTIONS 24 Not applicable. 25 10. RELATED CASES 26 Case No. 3:21-cv-684,-JCS Drevaleva v. Hayo et al. 27 Case No. 3:21cv500-JCS, Drevaleva v. Glazer el al. 28 Defendants' Initial Case

Management Statement

CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-03748-JCS

1	Case No. 3:20cv820-JCS, Drevaleva v. United States of America et al.
2	Case No. 3:19-cv-6127-JCS, Drevaleva v. Department of Veterans Affairs et al.
3	Case No. 4:19-cv-5927-HSG, Drevaleva v. Department of Veterans Affairs et al.
4	Case No. 3:19-cv-2665-JCS, Drevaleva v. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs et al.
5	Case No. 4:19-cv-1454-HSG, Drevaleva v. United States et al.
6	11. <u>RELIEF</u>
7	Since there is no second amended complaint, the damages sought are unknown to Defendants.
8	From past complaints and related cases, Plaintiff seeks approximately \$7,000,000 from the
9	federal government.
10	12. <u>SETTLEMENT AND ADR</u>
11	Without the pleadings being settled, Defendants cannot yet suggest a time frame for an early
12	ADR event. Defendants would propose a mediation date after the pleadings are finalized.
13	13. CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES
14	The parties have consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction.
15	14. <u>OTHER REFERENCES</u>
16	No.
17 18	15. <u>NARROWING OF ISSUES</u>
19	As noted above, Plaintiff makes the following request in her case management statement:
20	"Currently, I need to change the venue, and I need to transfer my Title VII and Rehabilitation
21	Act of 1973 claims to the U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico for a Jury trial."
22	Dkt. 343 at 2. Defendants do not oppose this request and will stipulate to a change in venue
23	for this and any remaining cases.
24	16. EXPEDITED TRIAL PROCEDURE
25	Defendants do not believe the Expedited Trial Procedure of General Order No. 64 Attachment
26	A is appropriate for this case.
27	
28	CASE NO: 3:18 ev 03748 ICS Defendants' Initial

Case Management Statement

1	17. SCHEDULING			
2	Defendants view scheduling as premature due to the fact that there is not an operative complaint			
3	in this matter.			
4	18. <u>TRIAL</u>			
5	Plaintiff's request with respect to trial is not clear because there is no operative complaint.			
6	19. <u>DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS</u>			
7	As governmental agencies, Defendants are exempt from the disclosure requirement of Civil			
8	Local Rule 3-16.			
9	20. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT			
10	All attorneys of record for the parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduct fo			
11	the Northern District of California.			
12	21. <u>OTHER</u>			
13	Nothing at this time.			
14				
15		Respectfully Submitted,		
16	DATE: April 22, 2021	STEPHANIE HINDS		
17		Acting United States Attorney		
18		<u>/s/ Kimberly A. Robinson</u> KIMBERLY A. ROBINSON		
19		Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for Defendants		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	CASE NO.: 3:18-cv-03748-JCS	Defendants' Initial		

Case Management Statement

Case 3:18-cv-03748-JCS Document 361 Filed 04/22/21 Page 6 of 6

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	
4	DATE:
5	Hon. Joseph C. Spero
6	Chief United States Magistrate Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	CASE NO: 3:18-cy-03748-ICS Defendants Case Management Statemen